

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

Α

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,325	02/26/2002	Gilbert R. Reese	1501-US 4105	
75	90 11/22/2005		EXAMINER	
Legal Departn	nent		DO, CI	IAT C
Teradyne, Inc . 321 Harrison Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02118			2193	
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/083,325	REESE, GILBERT R.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chat C. Do	2193				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 February 2002.						
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.						
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notic 3)  Infor	et(s)  De of References Cited (PTO-892)  De of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  De of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

1. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities:

> Re claim 10, the applicant is advised to rewrite the phase "a new LCM, LumpLCM" as "a new LCM as LumpLCM" for clarification wherein the LumpLCM is actually the LCM multiplying with any new factors.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-5, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 1, it is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are the structure of utilizing LumpLCM in producing or defining the desired frequencies. The claim clearly defines the term "LumpLCM" but it does not define how the LumpLCM is related to the method of producing a plurality of desired frequencies.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,325 Page 3

Art Unit: 2193

Re claim 3, the term "can be" in lines 2-3 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "can be" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Thus, claims 4-5 and 9 are also rejected for being dependent on the rejected base claims 3 and 1 respectively.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 10-20 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 2 and 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior arts of record fail to disclose or render an obviousness of a method operable on a clocking system that includes a plurality of tiers of clock dividers that successively divide a reference frequency derived from a master clock frequency for producing a plurality of desired frequencies comprising: either or both determining a lest common multiple (LCM) of the desired frequencies and the master clock frequency and determining a least common multiple (bigLCM) of the desired frequencies and the fixed reference frequency; selecting divider values that they add a minimum number of few new factors to the LCM; multiplying the LCM by any new factors to yield LumpLCM; determining an integer p such that p\*LumpLCM falls within an allowable range of the

reference frequency; and computing the reference frequency as seen in independent claims 10 and 15.

Page 4

The closest found prior art is Wilson (U.S. 5,018,170). Wilson discloses a variable data rate clock synthesizer. However, Wilson fails to disclose a method of producing a plurality of desired frequencies by determing LCM; selecting divider values that add minimum number of few new factors; multiplying LCM with any new factors to yield LumpLCM; and determining an integer p such that p\*LumpLCM falls within an allowable range of the reference frequency as seen above.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - U.S. Patent No. 5,018,170 to Wilson discloses a variable data rate clock a. synthesizer.
  - b. U.S. Patent No. 6,597,208 to Gabet et al. disclose a digital synthesizer with coherent division.

Art Unit: 2193

c. U.S. Patent No. 6,107,843 to de Gouy et al. disclose a fractional phase-locked loop coherent frequency synthesizer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chat C. Do whose telephone number is (571) 272-3721. The examiner can normally be reached on M => F from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chaki Kakali can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chat C. Do Examiner Art Unit 2193

November 17, 2005